Environmental Determinants of Anaemia and Spatial Association with Mosquito-Borne Disease Vulnerability: A Case of Eastern and North-Eastern India

¹Lobsang Tshering Bhutia*, ²Aparajita Chattopadhyay

1. Lobsang Tshering Bhutia
   Research Scholar, Department of Population and Development,
   International Institute for Population Sciences,
   Deonar, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400 088, India
   E-mail: lbhutia8@gmail.com
   ORCID: 0000-0001-8863-2763

2. Aparajita Chattopadhyay
   Professor, Department of Population and Development,
   International Institute for Population Sciences,
   Deonar, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400 088, India
   Email:aparajita@iipsindia.ac.in

Abstract

Background: Understanding anaemia prevalence in the context of environmental exposure calls for in-depth research. This study delves into the clustering and determinants of anaemia, focusing on environmental factors and their association with mosquito-borne disease vulnerability in Eastern and North-Eastern India.

Methods: Using the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5, 2019–21), Bivariate and Binary logistic regression analyses were used to determine the effect of environmental, health and demographic factors on anaemia separately for females and males, followed by spatial clustering of anaemia and its association with mosquito-borne disease vulnerability.

Results: The study reveals that anaemia was more likely to occur in females and males living in areas characterised by lower altitudes, higher mean annual temperatures, higher annual rainfall, more frequent drought episodes, and denser vegetation. Factors such as more number of children, being underweight, age 15–24, lower levels of education, being Hindu, tribal status, and belonging to the poorest wealth categories were also risk factors for anaemia. Furthermore, riverine areas of humid subtropical regions had a higher clustering of anaemia hotspots among females and males and were positively associated with areas of high mosquito-borne disease vulnerability in the study region.

Conclusion: The study underscores the significant role of environmental determinants in shaping anaemia risk in Eastern and North-Eastern India, calling for integrated, environment-informed public health interventions.

Keywords: Anaemia; Environment; Mosquito-Borne Disease; Analytical Hierarchy Process; Eastern and North-Eastern India

Background:

Environmental factors pose a significant threat to nutritional deficiencies. Anaemia as a nutritional indicator is critical in achieving SDG-2 (Zero Hunger) [1]. Women and children face unforeseen negative consequences of anaemia, such as cognitive development, low work productivity, and maternal and perinatal mortality [2]. The prevalence of anaemia is more severe in developing nations with poor socio-economic conditions, such as countries in South Asia and Western and Central Sub-Saharan Africa [3]. Poor health owing to anaemia has a marked effect on the economy. Research estimates the economic cost of anaemia among children aged 6 to 59 months is 24,001 million USD in productivity losses and 1.3% of GDP in India [4, 5]. India ranks fifth in anaemia severity among all the countries, as per the WHO Global Anaemia Estimates 2021 [6]. The Government of India has made commendable efforts in anaemia reduction policies like the National Nutritional Prophylaxis Programme of 1970, making India the first developing country to initiate a government-aided programme towards anaemia reduction targeting young children and women of the reproductive age group, to the recent Anaemia Mukt Bharat of 2018 [7–9]. However, at the national level, as per the latest round of NFHS, the anaemia prevalence for women of the reproductive age group increased from 53.1% NFHS-4 (2015-16) to 57% NFHS-5 (2019-20) [10]. This draws attention to the policy approach in such a diverse country as India, which requires a diverse, aspiring approach, considering the disentanglement of the complex aetiology of anaemia. Most research on anaemia has primarily considered socio-economic and health determinants, like age, educational attainment, residence, wealth index, pregnancy status, etc., which can primarily affect anaemia [11–16]. Alongside socio-economic and health factors, primary environmental factors can also significantly affect anaemia, particularly in Eastern and North-Eastern regions, experiencing humid sub-tropical climates, owing to infection spread by vectors.

The fact that the physical environment is an essential determinant of health has gained global scientific recognition. According to study estimates of the global disease burden, environmental risk factors were responsible for 22% of global disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and 23% of global deaths in 2012 [17]. Developing countries endure the burden of diseases such as infection, vector-borne disease, diarrhoea, malnutrition, respiratory diseases and a growing share of non-communicable diseases attributed to environmental risk factors, while the relevance in developed countries is relatively less [18]. Environmental factors here can be categorised into general external/macro-level and specific external/micro-level factors [19]. There are ample studies on the association between micro-level environmental factors and anaemia, including factors such as air pollution, water quality, heavy metal contamination, etc. [20–24]. However, the macro-level factors, which are more dormant overall, are still an under-researched arena. Although studies reveal macro-level environmental factors such as climate, vegetation and meteorological hazards such as drought and flood are directly and indirectly related to agricultural failure, alteration of micronutrients in crops and food security issues [25–27], alteration in drinking water quality, and increased occurrence of vector-borne disease [28] and parasitic infections [29], exacerbating the severity of anaemia. However, there is a dire need to dissect the depth of the complex relationship between macro-level environmental factors and anaemia.

Regionally, Eastern and North-Eastern states such as Bihar, Tripura, Jharkhand, Assam, and West Bengal were deficient in Key Performance Index scores of the programme called Anaemia Mukt Bharat, placing them near the bottom. In contrast, North-Eastern states like Manipur, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, Meghalaya and Arunachal Pradesh performed well [30]. Likewise, the explanation for the disparity in anaemia prevalence among Eastern and North-Eastern states of India can have additive aspects alongside socio-demographic and health-related factors. The link between infection and anaemia is well established. Infection can result in reduced intestinal absorption, nutrient loss in the gut due to increased secretion, internal diversion for infection-related metabolic responses, and elevated

basal metabolic rate, accelerating nutrient loss [31]. According to the National Center for Vector Borne Disease Control (NCVBDC), the East and North-Eastern States are highly prone to vector-borne diseases such as malaria, dengue, chikungunya, etc., which can contribute to anaemia [32]. Declassifying these diseases, India has been witnessing rapid progress in decreasing malaria cases, but Eastern India still witnesses a high burden of malaria. The WHO High Burden High Impact (HBHI) initiative (2019) has been focused on three eastern Indian states: West Bengal, Odisha, and Jharkhand, alongside Madhya Pradesh in Central India, for action against malaria [33]. An estimated 33 million clinically evident dengue cases occur annually in India, and the spread has progressed rapidly from urban to rural [34]. As per the reported estimates of dengue cases by NVBDCP 2023, West Bengal and Bihar rank 2nd and 3rd with 10.61% and 6.99% share of total dengue cases; Odisha, Assam and Jharkhand were the other states having a high share of dengue burden respectively [35].

Changing environment and climatic conditions can further impact the expansion and relocation of mosquito vectors in spreading diseases through multiple pathways [36]. The challenge lies in determining mosquito-borne disease in changing environmental and climatic conditions, as environmental conditions are not static [37]. The currently available research on anaemia primarily focuses on children and females of reproductive age group, while research on male counterparts is limited. It is to be noted that a lower prevalence of anaemia among men compared to women is linked to inequality in nutrition, blood loss due to menstrual bleeding, multiple pregnancies, and so on [38]. However, a similar prevalence pattern is observed in India, i.e., states with high female anaemia prevalence also correlate with high male anaemia prevalence, although of lesser intensity among males is observed with general exception in some states as shown in **(Fig. 1)**; this made us revisit the role of macro-level environmental factors in explaining anaemia among males and females in Eastern and North-Eastern India.


Fig. 1: State/UT wise prevalence of anaemia among (a) Females, and (b) Males in India (NFHS-5) 2019-21

Considering the abovementioned problems and findings from past available literature, the study aims to determine the clustering of anaemia hotspots among males and females in Eastern and North-Eastern India. It explores differentials in determinants of anaemia among males and females aged 15-49 attributed to Environmental, Health, and Demographic factors. Further, the study explores the spatial correlation between mosquito-borne disease vulnerability and anaemia.

Materials and Methods:

Description of the Study Area

The study area covers Eastern and North-Eastern India as shown in **(Fig. 2)**, comprising states such as Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, and West Bengal in the east, characterised by fertile plains, river basins, and a mix of plateau and coastal plains, and the North-Eastern region of India consists of eight states including Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Tripura, Arunachal Pradesh, and Sikkim characterised by hilly and mountainous terrain, dense forests[39].

The climate across these regions varies significantly. Eastern India typically experiences a humid subtropical climate with hot summers and distinct rainy seasons, while the North-East receives some of the highest rainfall levels in the country and has a humid subtropical to temperate climate, depending on altitude [40]. As per to Census of India 2011 the, Eastern and North-Eastern India also reflects vast difference in population, with Eastern India having some of the highest population states in India, such as Bihar and West Bengal, ranking third and fourth in total population with 10.8% and 7.54% of India's population whereas the North-East represents only 3.7% of total population of India [41]. However, despite these differences, Eastern and North-Eastern India still house 35.9% of the Scheduled Tribe population [41].

Data Source and Study Population

The Demographic and Health Studies (DHS) or National Family and Health Survey (NFHS) is a nationally representative survey conducted by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (Government of India), with the International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Mumbai, as the Nodal Agency. The samples are selected using a stratified multi-stage cluster sampling method to ensure nationally representative estimates. There are currently five rounds of survey covering comprehensive data on various indicators such as household population and housing characteristics, fertility, family planning, maternal and child health, selected morbidity issues, HIV/AIDS, domestic violence etc., [10]. Likewise, the study used NFHS-5 (2019-21) data, inclusive of the Eastern and North-Eastern States of Bihar, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Odisha, Assam, Tripura, Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Mizoram, Nagaland, and Sikkim (Eastern and North-Eastern states of India).

The study population included all women and men of the reproductive age group 15-49, who were tested for haemoglobin levels, excluding the missing values. The sample included 214,231 females and 25,953 males. DHS geographical covariates provide data on cluster levels wherein the rural clusters are displaced to 5 km, and urban clusters are displaced to 2 km to maintain confidentiality. However, assuming that within the displaced distance, the geographical factors such as cluster altitude, annual rainfall, mean annual temperature, drought episodes, and enhanced vegetation index are unlikely to vary greatly, the geographical covariates were merged into the individual files for further analysis.

Fig. 2: District level map of Eastern and North-Eastern India depicting study area coverage and administrative boundaries

The data used to generate the mosquito-borne disease vulnerability map included several geospatial data, such as Digital Elevation Model (DEM) [42], Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (NDVI) [43], Land Use Land Cover (LULC) [44], Annual Rainfall [45], Mean Annual Temperature [45],

Population Density [46], Proportion Poor [10], and Wetness Index. These geospatial data are stratified to DHS years and are essential predictors of mosquito-borne disease, the data source for which has been highlighted in **(Table 1)**.

Table 1: Geospatial data input and sources for assessment of Mosquito-Borne Disease vulnerability in Eastern and North-Eastern India

Geospatial Variables	Indicators	Data source
Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	Altitude (meters)	United States Geological Survey
Topographic Wetness Index (TWI)	Soil Moisture Saturation (%)	Derived from DEM
Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (NDVI)	Greenness Vegetative cover	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)
Land Use Land Cover (LULC)	Land Use Characteristics	Copernicus Global Land Service
Annual Rainfall	Precipitation in (mm)	Indian Meteorological Department (IMD)
Annual Mean Temperature	Temperature in (°C)	Indian Meteorological Department (IMD)
Population Density	Population per 1 km	World Pop
Proportion Poor	Percentage of Population below poor wealth quintile	NFHS- 5

Outcome Variable

The dependent or outcome variable anaemia status was recoded as a dummy variable (0 non-anaemic and 1 anaemic) where anaemic females included non-pregnant women whose haemoglobin count was less than 12.0 grams per decilitre (g/dl) and pregnant women whose count was less than 11.0 (g/dl) against non-anaemic having higher haemoglobin cut off values. Additionally, males whose haemoglobin count was less than 13.0 grams per decilitre (g/dl) were considered anaemic against non-anaemic with a haemoglobin count higher than 13.0 grams per decilitre (g/dl) [47].

Predictor Variable

The predictor or independent variables included environmental, demographic, and health variables such as altitude, mean annual temperature, annual rainfall, drought episodes, enhanced vegetation index, children ever born, body-mass index, type of diet consumption, source of water, toilet facility, age, educational level, religion, caste, type of residence and wealth index.

The environmental determinants in health studies are limited in identifying standard cutoffs for environmental parameters. Given the limitations, the categorisation and the demarcation of the threshold for ecological parameters were carefully marked based on indicators of agroecological zonation, as anaemia is an important indicator of nutritional levels. Altitudinal differences are an essential predictor of landforms and resultant vegetation typologies. As such, the categories of altitude were categorised as 0 to 100 meters, 100 to 500 meters, 500 to 1000 meters and above 1000 meters as a single category [48]. The mean annual temperature was distinctly selected to divide the humid subtropical part of India from the mountain climatic category, with 20°C as the standard cutoff based on the Koppen climatic classification [49, 50]. Furthermore, studies also highlight that all-cause mortality increases when the temperature increases greater than the threshold of 20°C, marking it as an important threshold limit in health studies [51]. Similarly, according to the Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) reports 2021, the annual rainfall in Eastern and North Eastern India was recorded to be 1236.4mm with significant variation among the states with Himalayan high altitudes ranging from

2,000 to 3,000 mm due to the orographic nature of monsoon which impacts the agricultural productivity, and vegetation as such annual rainfall was categorised into less than 1500mm, 1500 to 2000mm and more than 2000mm as the upper limit category [52–54]. Drought Episodes is a spatially classified index of long-term drought frequency, it ranges from 0 to 10 levels, with higher values indicating more frequent and severe historical drought experience and has been classified into less than 2, 2-5, and greater than 5. Furthermore, the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) as an indicator of vegetation health ranges between +1 to -1, where positive values represent healthy vegetation and negative values represent bare soil. Studies highlight that EVI value 0.2 is the lower bound threshold of healthy vegetation below which, as it approaches negative values the vegetative health is considered as sparse or bare ground, as such, we categorised EVI into three groups less than 0.20, 0.20-0.30, and more than 0.30 [55, 56].

Statistical Analysis

To address the complex survey design, including sampling weights, clustering, and stratification, we used svyset function, to ensure that statistical analysis appropriately accounts for the survey structure, producing accurate and representative results. Univariate analysis was used to describe the distribution of the sample by background characteristics, a detailed description of which is given in **(Table S1 in the Supplementary Material)**, and chi-square test was used to examine the association between anaemia prevalence across distinct covariates in bivariate analysis.

Binary logistic regression was assembled into two distinct models, model 1 for environmental factors and model 2 for environmental factors adjusted with health and demographic factors, for both females and males separately. The model explanation follows:

$$\text{Logit(Y)} = \text{natural log(odds)} = \ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k$$

Where p is the probability of outcome of interest, β_0 is the intercept and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$ regression coefficients for predictor $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$. The outcomes were presented as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Furthermore, multicollinearity diagnostics were conducted before the binary logistic regression analysis using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) separately for females and males, as highlighted in **(Table S2 in the Supplementary Material)**. The output of VIF, with a mean VIF value of 1.44 for females and 1.41 for males, showed low multicollinearity among the predictor variables. Furthermore, none of the variables included in the model exceeded the commonly accepted VIF threshold of 10 [57]. Additionally, to check for the model efficiency and improvement after adjusting for socio-demographic predictors, Log Likelihood, Pseudo R², Akaike information criterion (AIC), and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) have been checked and reported respectively for each model.

Geospatial Analysis

Getis-Ord Gi* statistics for detecting prominent hotspots/coldspots of a particular attribute variable is the function of attribute values and distance of points/polygons, developed by Getis and Ord to identify spatial patterns [58]. Prior to Getis-Ord Gi* statistics, the incremental spatial autocorrelation revealed spatial clustering among females was most pronounced at a distance of 35 km, while for males, the strongest clustering occurred at 45 km. The output distance thresholds were used as fixed distance bands to define spatial neighbourhood structure, followed by spatial autocorrelation of anaemia among both females and males in Eastern and North-Eastern India, details of which are highlighted in **(Fig. S1 and S2 in the Supplementary Material)**. Lastly, to determine anaemia hot/cold spots at the cluster level among females and males in Eastern and North-Eastern India, the Getis-Ord Gi* statistics (Standardized

Z-score) were implemented and classified following a standard z-distribution cutoff, which can be explained as follows:

$$Z(G_i^*) = \frac{\left(\Sigma_{j=1}^n w_{ij} x_j - \bar{X}\Sigma_{j=1}^n w_{ij}\right)}{s\sqrt{\dfrac{\left[n\,\Sigma_{j=1}^n w_{ij}^2 - \left(\Sigma_{j=1}^n W_{ij}\right)^2\right]}{n-1}}}$$

Where, G_i^* is the statistics function of spatial dependency of incident i over all n events; w_{ij} represents weight value distance between geographical points i and j; and x_j represents the magnitude/values of the variables under study (anaemia for males and females) at point j.

The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), introduced by Satty is a general theory of measurement and a widely used method in multi-criteria decision-making, planning and resource allocation, including suitability or vulnerability analysis [59]. The mosquito-borne diseases subjected to Anopheles and Aedes mosquitoes, such as malaria, dengue and chikungunya, are subjected to environmental factors that require suitable environmental conditions for breeding and spread of infection; as such, to map their vulnerability to the lowest scale, the application of geospatial techniques has been reliable and emerging in recent times. Studies have focused on mosquito-borne disease vulnerability maps based on environmental and socio-economic factors, notably in Kolkata and Northern South America [60, 61]. Using a similar conceptuality, the mosquito-borne disease vulnerability map for Eastern and North-Eastern India was attempted using the Analytic Hierarchy Process. Firstly, key environmental and socio-demographic indicators associated with vector ecology were selected through review of previous studies on mosquito-borne disease vulnerability in similar ecological setups, as shown in **(Fig. S3** in the Supplementary Material**)** [60, 62, 63]. Key domain-specific experts in public health, environmental health and climate science were consulted to independently rate the relative importance of variables using Saaty's scale. The weights for each factor were generated through a pairwise comparison matrix based on the mean of ratings; relative weights were assigned to the individual variable, details of which are highlighted in **(Table S3** in the Supplementary Material**)**. The measure of consistency was assessed using the Consistency Ratio (CR), which can be expressed as:

$$CR = \frac{CI}{RI}$$

Where, $Consistency\ Index(CI) = \frac{\lambda\,max - n}{n - I}$, here $\lambda\,max$ is the maximum eigenvalue of the comparison matrix, and n is the dimension of the comparison matrix, and Relative weight is the random consistency index. A consistency ratio of less than or equal to 0.1 or 10% is acceptable; the CR value of 2.40% marks the parameter weights in identifying mosquito-borne disease vulnerability in Eastern and North-Eastern India.

The individual variables were re-classified and ranked according to weights as highlighted in the Supplementary Material **(Table S4)**; individual re-classified layers were then multiplied by their standard weight and then overlayed to others for providing mosquito-borne disease vulnerability in Eastern and North-Eastern India. The weighted overlay method can be expressed as

$$s = \frac{\Sigma w_i s_{ij}}{\Sigma w_i}$$

Wherein, s is the spatial unit value in the output raster; w_i is the weight of ith variable; and s_{ij} is the ith risk value of jth variable.

Random points were generated, and raster values were extracted from two subset geospatial variables of mosquito-borne disease vulnerability and anaemia clusters for both males and females. The spatial association was then assessed by Bivariate Local Moran's- I, expressed as follows:

$$I_B = \frac{\Sigma_i\left(\Sigma_j w_{ij}(d)x_i \times y_j\right)}{\Sigma_i x_i^2}$$

where $x_i \times y_j$ is the cross product of the first variable (mosquito-borne disease vulnerability) at location i and the second variable (anaemia clusters) at each neighbouring location j, and $w_{ij}(d)$ represents the weighted value of the neighbourhood between geographical points i and j at distance d.

Results:

Distribution of anaemia by selected characteristics

Anaemia in females and males based on selected characteristics as illustrated in **(Table 2)** shows that environmental factors such as low altitude ranging from 0-100 meters, mean annual temperature above 20°C, annual rainfall between 1500-2000 mm, and experience of frequent drought episodes in the past (index greater than 5) recorded higher anaemia levels in both females and males. The increase in enhanced vegetation index favoured higher levels of anaemia among females, with the highest anaemia among those residing in areas where the index ranged above 0.30. Females with one or two children were found to be more anaemic compared to women with no children; the other characteristics, such as Body Mass Index and WASH practices, were also crucial. Those identified females and males who were underweight and used unimproved water sources and unimproved toilet facilities had a higher share of anaemia in Eastern and North-Eastern India. Considering demographic variables, such as age, anaemia was found to be more prevalent among females than males across all age groups, with the highest prevalence among females aged 15-24. Contrary to this, the age group 35-49 was most prone to anaemia in males. The prevalence of anaemia was highest in the Schedule Caste among females (69.04%), and males belonging to the Schedule Tribe (36.22%) were more anaemic than other caste groups. Belonging to the Hindu religion, being illiterate, belonging to the poorest wealth quintile and living in a rural setup had a higher share of anaemia prevalence in Eastern and North-Eastern India.

Determinants of Anaemia in Eastern and North-Eastern India

The binary logistic regression **(Table 3)** delves into the determinants of anaemia by taking two models for males and females each, wherein Model 1 shows the unadjusted odds ratios of environmental variables, and Model 2 shows the environmental variables adjusted for potential confounding variables attributed to demographic and health characteristics in Eastern and North-Eastern India. The addition of socio-demographic factors to environmental factors significantly improved model fit statistics for females in the adjusted model (Model 2), compared to the unadjusted model (Model 1), with a higher log-likelihood (−124063.22), improved proportion of variance explanatory power (Pseudo R² = 0.0577), and lower AIC (248194.44) and BIC (248540.38). Similarly, for males, the adjusted model (Model 2) improved significantly after adjusting for socio-demographic factors compared to the unadjusted model (Model 1), with higher log-likelihood (−13177.86), improved Pseudo R² (0.0433), and reduced AIC (26423.71) and BIC (26697.76).

Table 2: Prevalence of anaemia among females and males according to selected characteristics in Eastern and North-Eastern India (NFHS-5) 2019-21

Background Characteristics	Females		Males	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Altitude in meters	**8800*****		**476.77*****	
0-100	79,740	66.84	6,121	33.15
100-500	21,860	66.8	941	33.7
500-1000	3,798	53.83	120	19.47
>1000	970	40.93	70	16.84
Annual Mean Temperature in °C	**8700*****		**409.38*****	
<20	898	35.09	80	15.93
>20	1,05,471	66.38	7,171	32.92
Annual Rainfall in mm	**858.12*****		**38.68*****	
<1500	15,993	63.49	1,085	27.7
1500-2000	69,601	66.39	4,311	33.58
>2000	20,775	66.11	1,854	33.53
Drought Episodes	**3900*****		**175.80*****	
<2	4,003	61.33	340	29.99
3-5	42,041	64.98	2,966	31.57
>5	60,325	66.87	3,945	33.56
Enhanced Vegetation Index	**197.52*****		**8.17***	
<0.20	1,207	61.36	79	34.51
0.20-0.30	13,608	64.33	983	31.26
>0.30	91,554	66.19	6,190	32.72
Children Ever Born	**202.64*****		**-**	
0	29,562	64.06	-	-
1-2	43,426	67.32	-	-
>2	33,380	65.72	-	-
BMI	**2000*****		**301.25*****	
Normal	64,871	65.84	4,677	32.04
Underweight	23,363	70.14	1,612	40.18
Overweight/Obese	18,135	61.25	962	26.17
Type of Diet Consumption	**139.94*****		**1.6794**	
Vegetarian	6,816	65.69	229	30.06
Non-Vegetarian	99,552	65.9	7,022	32.62
Source of Water	**0.0493**		**1.1364**	
Improved	97,187	65.78	6,896	32.41
Unimproved	9,181	66.99	355	35.19
Toilet facility	**1600*****		**101.32*****	
Improved	71,672	65.06	5,080	31.13
Unimproved/No facility/Open defecation	34,696	67.66	2,171	36.37
Age	**137.39*****		**41.34*****	
15-24	38,050	66.28	2,427	31.7
25-34	31,421	65.11	1,906	29.59
35-49	36,897	66.15	2,917	35.64
Education level	**962.21*****		**181.03*****	
No education	29,777	67.39	1,301	40.62
Primary	14,348	66.63	1,180	32.82
Secondary	53,244	65.78	4,007	32.32
Higher	9,000	60.91	763	24.71
Religion	**8,400*****		**337.65*****	
Hindu	88,817	67.04	5,887	33.29
Muslim	13,112	62.33	1,123	31.46
Christian	2,904	53.02	186	23.07
Others	1,535	62.95	55	24.44
Caste	**3700*****		**72.10*****	
Others	23,771	65.05	1,516	30.85
Schedule Caste	28,379	69.04	2,086	34.97
Schedule Tribe	15,042	67.37	998	36.22
OBC	39,176	63.74	2,651	30.64
Type of Residence	**489.18*****		**97.94*****	
Urban	22,468	63.63	1,447	27.09
Rural	83,900	66.52	5,804	34.25

Table 2 (continued)

Background Characteristics	Females		Males	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Wealth Index	**1600***		**252.67***	
Poorest	40,637	68.84	2,993	37.98
Poorer	28,502	65.66	1,959	31.79
Middle	18,734	63.75	1,214	30.37
Richer	12,272	62.91	708	25.39
Richest	6,224	61.55	377	25.89

Note: Values in bold indicate chi-square values with significance level p<0.001***, p<0.01** and p<0.5*

In Eastern and North-Eastern India, the environmental variables demonstrated a significant association with anaemia prevalence. The moderate to high-altitude residents were associated with lower odds of anaemia compared to the low-altitude residents residing below 100 meters, possibly due to physiological adaptations or better nutritional environments. Similarly, females and males in hotter regions with annual mean temperature more than 20°C were 65% and 41% more likely to be anaemic compared to those in cooler areas having annual mean temperature less than 20°C females [AOR 1.65; 95% (1.59-1.72)] and males [AOR 1.41; 95% (1.24-1.62)].

Annual rainfall as a predictor has slight differential effects by gender. The likelihood of anaemia was 7% higher in females residing in regions having annual rainfall ranging between 1500-2000mm [AOR 1.07; 95% (1.04-1.10)] compared to females residing in regions receiving annual rainfall less than 1500mm. However, males residing in regions receiving annual rainfall of more than 2000mm faced a 14% higher risk of anaemia [AOR 1.14; 95% (1.02-1.27)] than those residing in regions receiving annual rainfall of less than 1500mm. Higher temperature could be associated with higher nutritional demands and associated co-morbidities through infectious disease, which are significantly associated with anaemia.

The probability of being anaemic increased with an increase in the occurrence of drought episodes in the past; Females and males residing in regions experiencing high occurrence of drought episodes in the past (index more than 5) had a higher probability of being anaemic; this corresponds to both females 40% higher risk [AOR 1.40; 95% (1.35-1.44)] and males 32% higher risk [AOR 1.32; 95% (1.20-1.46)] compared to males and females residing in regions experiencing low occurrence of drought episodes in the past (index less than 2). Drought, as an important driver of agricultural productivity, could possibly lead to nutritional deficiency and increase the risk of anaemia. Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) was found to be sensitive to adjustment; the output result in model 1 for EVI highlighted those females and males residing in the periphery of moderately low to moderate vegetation had higher odds of anaemia compared to residents residing in low vegetation periphery. However, with the adjustment of environmental variables with other demographic and health-related variables, the AOR results were found to be insignificant for both females and males in Eastern and North-Eastern India.

The other controlling demographic and health variables, such as females and males of age 15- 24, belonging to the Hindu religion, having no education, tribal status, rural residence, lower wealth index, and low body mass index, were found to be significant predictors of anaemia among females and males and higher number of children was exclusive to females in Eastern and North-Eastern India. Overall, these findings highlight the critical association between environmental factors and anaemia.

Table 3: Output of binary logistic regression model explaining anaemia among females and males according to selected characteristics in Eastern and North-Eastern India (NFHS-5) 2019-21

Anaemia		Female		Male	
		Model 1	**Model 2**	**Model 1**	**Model 2**
Variables	**Sub-Category**	**Odds ratio**	**Odds ratio**	**Odds ratio**	**Odds ratio**
Altitude in meters	<100	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
	100-500	0.77 [0.75-0.79]***	0.81 [0.79-0.83]***	0.82 [0.77-0.88]***	0.84 [0.78-0.91]***
	500-1000	0.51 [0.49-0.52]***	0.60 [0.58-0.62]***	0.59 [0.53-0.65]***	0.62 [0.55-0.69]***
	>1000	0.46 [0.45-0.48]***	0.60 [0.58-0.63]***	0.47 [0.42-0.54]***	0.54 [0.46-0.62]***
Annual Mean Temperature in °C	<20	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
	>20	1.86 [1.79-1.93]***	1.65 [1.59-1.72]***	1.51 [1.33-1.71]***	1.41 [1.24-1.62]***
Annual Rainfall in mm	<1500	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
	1500-2000	1.08 [1.05-1.11]***	1.07 [1.04-1.10]***	1.12 [1.02-1.23]*	1.05 [0.95-1.15]
	>2000	0.95 [0.92-0.97]***	1.06 [1.03-1.10]***	1.17 [1.06-1.29]**	1.14 [1.02-1.27]*
Drought Episodes	<2	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
	3-4	1.21 [1.18-1.24]***	1.12 [1.09-1.15]***	1.17 [1.07-1.28]***	1.14 [1.04-1.26]**
	>5	1.47 [1.43-1.51]***	1.40 [1.35-1.44]***	1.38 [1.26-1.52]***	1.32 [1.20-1.46]***
Enhanced Vegetation Index	<0.20	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
	0.20-0.30	1.55 [1.42-1.70]***	1.14 [0.98-1.33]	1.45 [1.11-1.93]*	1.41 [0.88-2.26]
	>0.30	1.60 [1.47-1.74]***	1.12 [0.96-1.30]	1.46 [1.11-1.93]**	1.28 [0.81-2.04]
Children Ever Born	0	-	Reference	-	-
	1-2	-	1.18 [1.15-1.21]***	-	-
	>2	-	1.13 [1.09-1.17]***	-	-
BMI	Normal	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Underweight	-	1.26 [1.23-1.29]***	-	1.42 [1.31-1.54]***
	Overweight/Obese	-	0.81 [0.79-0.83]***	-	0.73 [0.67-0.80]***
Type of Diet Consumption	Vegetarian	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Non-Vegetarian	-	1.00 [0.96- 1.05]	-	0.98 [0.80-1.19]
Source of Water	Improved	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Unimproved	-	1.00 [0.97-1.03]	-	1.02 [0.93-1.12]
Toilet facility	Improved	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Unimproved/No facility/Open defecation	-	1.00 [0.97-1.02]	-	0.94 [0.87-1.01]
Age	15-24	-	Reference	-	Reference
	25-34	-	0.90 [0.87-0.92]***	-	0.90 [0.83-0.98]**
	>35	-	0.90 [0.88-0.93]***	-	1.10 [1.02-1.18]*
Education level	No education	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Primary	-	0.98 [0.94-1.01]	-	0.87 [0.78-0.97]*
	Secondary	-	0.94 [0.84-0.96]***	-	0.80 [0.73-0.87]***
	Higher	-	0.87 [0.84-0.91]***	-	0.69 [0.61-0.79]***
Religion	Hindu	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Muslim	-	0.76 [0.73-0.79]***	-	0.87 [0.77-0.99]*
	Christian	-	0.58 [0.56-0.60]***	-	0.64 [0.57-0.72]***
	Others	-	0.76 [0.73-0.80]***	-	0.86 [0.74-1.00]*
Caste	Others	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Schedule Caste	-	1.01 [0.98-1.05]	-	1.10 [0.98-1.23]
	Schedule Tribe	-	1.08 [1.04-1.12]***	-	1.40 [1.25-1.58]***
	OBC	-	0.94 [0.91-0.97]***	-	1.03 [0.93-1.14]
Type of Residence	Urban	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Rural	-	1.06 [1.03-1.09]***	-	1.19 [1.09-1.30]***
Wealth Index	Poorest	-	Reference	-	Reference
	Poorer	-	0.88 [0.86-0.91]***	-	0.84 [0.78-0.91]***
	Middle	-	0.86 [0.83-0.88]***	-	0.85 [0.77-0.93]***
	Richer	-	0.82 [0.79-0.85]***	-	0.80 [0.71-0.90]***
	Richest	-	0.82 [0.77-0.86]***	-	0.79 [0.66-0.95]**

Table 3 (continued)

Anaemia	Female		Male	
Model Fit	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
Log Likelihood	-137826.49	-124063.22	-14872	-13177.86
Pseudo R²	0.0437	0.0577	0.0238	0.0433
AIC	275674.99	248194.44	29766.003	26423.71
BIC	275787.92	248540.38	29855.674	26697.76

Note: Significance level p<0.001***, p<0.01** and p<0.5*; AIC: Akaike Information Criterion, BIC: Bayesian Information Criterion


Fig. 3: Spatial Distribution/ Clustering of Anaemia among (a) females and (b) males in Eastern and North-Eastern India (NFHS-5) 2019-21

Spatial clustering of anaemia in Eastern and North-Eastern India

The output of hotspot analysis of anaemia clusters in the Eastern and North-Eastern regions of India, as shown in **(Fig. 3)**, with Moran's I values of 0.47 and 0.18, signified significant autocorrelation and a clustering pattern of anaemia among females and males, respectively.

The clustering of hotspots (i.e high prevalence clustering) was significantly concentrated in the floodplain regions of Gangetic, Brahmaputra and Mahanadi belts, with pockets over Tripura, the southern tip of Odisha and North-Eastern Arunachal Pradesh. The districts such as Dakshin Dinajpur, Paschim Medinipur, Purba Barddhaman, Murshidabad, Hugli, Koch Bihar, Maldah, and Nadia in West Bengal; Udalguri, and Golaghat in Assam; Jamui, Bhojpur and Bhagalpur in Bihar; Pakur and Jaamtara in Jharkhand; and Anugul in Odisha. Similarly, the clustering of coldspots was found to be in the Eastern Himalayan belt, extending from the hilly regions of Sikkim to the North-Eastern states of Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Manipur, and Mizoram in both females and males. The output result of spatial clustering of anaemia hotspots in females and males had a similar pattern, with a higher concentration of clustering in females and a lower concentration among males.

Mosquito-Borne disease vulnerability and its spatial association with anaemia clusters

The final output of Mosquito-Borne Disease vulnerability generated through the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) as highlighted in **(Fig. 4)**, represents a similar trend of high vulnerability over the floodplain regions of Gangetic, Brahmaputra, and Mahanadi belt covering Bihar, West Bengal, Assam, parts of Odisha and Jharkhand, with pockets over Tripura, the southern tip of Odisha and western Meghalaya. On the other hand, the very low vulnerability was concentrated in the Eastern Himalayan belt, extending from the hilly regions of Sikkim to the North-Eastern states of Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Manipur, and Mizoram, along with parts of Meghalaya, Jharkhand and southern Odisha.


Fig. 4: AHP generated Mosquito-Borne Disease Vulnerability Map of Eastern and North-Eastern India

The output of a bivariate Local Indicator of Spatial Association (LISA) map **(Fig. 5)** with Moran's I values of 0.42 and 0.31 indicated a significant positive spatial association between mosquito-borne disease and anaemia among females and males in Eastern and North-Eastern India. The spatial association between high mosquito-borne disease vulnerability zones and high anaemia among females and males over the flood plain zones, signified by red high-high clusters, can be perceived as fitting to the environmental conditions such as wet, warm and humid climatic conditions alongside lower altitudes. In contrast, the low mosquito-borne disease vulnerability zones and low anaemia among females and males, signified by blue low-low clusters, were concentrated over the Eastern Himalayan region of North-East India. The conditions mentioned above render the mosquito vectors' thriving capacity exposed to the population, causing diseases like malaria, dengue, and chikungunya, which have ample clinical studies that have evidence of a close association with anaemia.


Fig. 5: Bivariate LISA map showing the spatial association between Mosquito-Borne Disease Vulnerability and Anaemia Hotspots among (a) females and (b) males in Eastern and North-Eastern India

Discussion:

The study on anaemia among males and females over the Eastern and North-Eastern regions highlights significant findings that can be summed up broadly on determinants and clustering of anaemia and its spatial association with mosquito-borne diseases.

The study highlighted that clustering of hotspots of anaemia among females and males was mainly concentrated in flood plains of Bihar, West Bengal, Odisha, Assam and parts of Tripura covering districts such as Dakshin Dinajpur, Paschim Medinipur, Purba Barddhaman, Murshidabad, Hugli, Koch Bihar, Maldah, and Nadia in West Bengal; Udalguri, and Golaghat in Assam; Jamui, Bhojpur and Bhagalpur in Bihar; Pakur and Jamtara in Jharkhand; and Anugul in Odisha and cold spots was primarily concentrated over the Eastern Himalayas of Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram, Manipur and Nagaland. Our study is the first to present anaemia clustering dropping down from district to cluster level for Eastern and North-Eastern India using the latest round of NFHS surveys. Similarly, the study shows near similar spatial patterns and anaemia clustering in a nationally represented study using NFHS-5 at the district level for females and males in Eastern and North-Eastern India. Studies that explored district-level anaemia prevalence [14, 24, 64] And some regional studies support our finding of the prevalence of anaemia [16, 65]. However, our study is more detailed and highlights the micro-level spatial clustering of disease.

In addition, low residential altitude as an environmental factor was found to be an essential predictor associated with higher anaemia prevalence; the odds of anaemia decreased as residential elevation increased in Eastern and North-Eastern India. Low altitude anaemia prevalence can be associated with numerous factors, predominantly socio-cultural factors, alongside environment, which can be associated to high anaemia prevalence. Studies in India highlight that increased pollutants, such as increased ambient PM2.5 exposure, result in anaemia among women of the reproductive age group, with a prominent effect over regions of coal units spread over the Gangetic Plains [66, 67]. Hypoxia also contributes to low levels of anaemia at higher altitudes, a condition of physiological adaptation to low parcel pressure oxygen at high altitudes in the form of increased concentration of haemoglobin [68]. Several studies mention this phenomenon in different samples of high-altitude residents, particularly in Andean and Tibetan populations [69–71].

Furthermore, high mean annual temperature and high annual rainfall as climatic factors were found to be an essential risk factor associated with anaemia prevalence compared to regions with mean annual temperatures less than 20°C and rainfall less than 1500mm. The association between high temperature and childhood anaemia is limited, but studies have documented that a 1°C increase in mean annual temperature was associated with a 13.8% increase in anaemia and further projected increase of anaemia by 7,597 per 100,000 person-years in 2090 among children of 26 Sub-Saharan Africa under high emission climatic scenario, three times more than low emission scenario [72]. The evidence on annual rainfall directly associated with anaemia was not available as such, but studies report that an increase in rainfall was linked with the maternal outcome of anaemia [73]; alongside, a study on riverine regions of Brazil highlights mean haemoglobin and haematocrit levels among children and adolescents to be higher in the dry season, wherein the anaemia prevalence was reported to be 4% in the dry season against 12% in the rainy season [74].

On the other hand, compared to regions experiencing lower drought episodes in the past, the regions with an index of more than 5 drought episodes in the past, as meteorological hazards, were found to be associated with higher anaemia prevalence among both females and males in Eastern and North-Eastern India. Studies highlight the increase in the frequency and intensity of droughts in the Indian sub-continent, with high impacts on the agricultural belt of Maharashtra and the Indo-Gangetic Plains,

hampering food security issues and socio-economic vulnerability [75]. Studies and reviews in drought-affected communities in South-Central Ethiopia and Africa also linked the nutritional outcome of anaemia as an effect of drought exacerbated by socio-economic factors and malaria [76, 77].

The environmental determinants, except altitude, do not directly contribute to anaemia; instead, calamities such as floods and droughts compound agricultural failure and food security issues, alongside changing climatic conditions, affecting heat-related disease, waterborne disease and infection, are linked with higher prevalence of anaemia. The environmental characteristics associated with anaemia mentioned above are typical to humid subtropical regions favourable for breeding and infection through mosquito-borne diseases, particularly malaria and dengue [78]. Considering these findings, the environmental and socio-economic characteristics-based vulnerability map of mosquito-borne disease was generated. The output results found a significant spatial association of mosquito-borne disease vulnerability with anaemia. Anaemia due to malaria and dengue can be attributed to high loss of (RBC) red blood cells due to rupturing of RBCs by the malaria virus and cytopenia, and gastrointestinal bleeding in dengue [79–82]. The finding remains constant, with studies reporting that districts with more than 23.6% anaemia prevalence had higher odds of being malaria endemic districts, with similarly high annual parasitic index and anaemia patterns among males in Eastern and North-Eastern India [83].

The findings on socio-demographic determinants of anaemia highlighted that females and males aged 15- 24, belonging to the Hindu religion, having no education, tribal status, rural residence, and lower wealth index are linked with a higher risk of having anaemia in India. Studies with similar findings at the national and sub-national levels in India can be found [11–16]. These factors also correspond to socio-cultural practices, norms, and attitudes alongside inequality and marginality, directly associated with nutritional status. The study also focuses on health factors, where a higher number of children ever born was found to be a risk factor for anaemia; similar findings can be traced in studies at the national level, the reason being multiple gestations resulting in higher iron demand and blood loss during complications [12, 84, 85]. The underweight category in BMI was associated with a higher anaemia burden; such an association has been established in India and several other countries as well, but the explanation for it remains bounded by low nutritional intake, failure of nutritional absorption, physiological disadvantage and so on [13, 14, 86–88].

Limitations:

The study incorporates environmental factors into all individual categories, assuming environmental factors are constant for all individuals within clusters. Although DHS purposively does it to maintain respondents' privacy, the level of displacement in urban and rural areas is minimal. However, individual data on environmental factors would suffice for the study to measure environmental determinants of health more significantly.

Data on selected important vector-borne diseases are administered by the National Centre for Vector Borne Disease Control (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India), such as malaria, dengue, chikungunya, etc. The majority of diseases are reported at the state level. The micro-level geospatial data on mosquito-borne disease was generated using geospatial modelling through available environmental and socio-economic data. As far as our knowledge goes, this is the first of its kind to visualise the clustering of anaemia with mosquito-bornedisease vulnerability. The real-time micro-level data on prevalence and fatality would suffice for more accurate output.

Conclusion:

The study highlights a strong association between anaemia and environmental factors, particularly high mean annual temperatures, high annual rainfall, and recurrent drought episodes among females and

males in Eastern and North-Eastern India. The findings call for a shift beyond socio-economic and health service determinants to a more comprehensive framework that integrates environmental factors in addressing anaemia.

The findings on spatial clustering of anaemia and its spatial association with mosquito-borne disease vulnerability underscore the need for a coordinated public health approach targeting females and males in the floodplain regions of Eastern and NorthEastern India. At the early detection level, a coordinated synergy between the existing Integrated Disease Surveillance Programme (IDSP) and the National Centre for Vector-Borne Disease Control is essential. This approach would help in regulating routine Web-GIS-based surveillance and biomarker tracking of syndromic symptoms for early detection and further research on disease outbreaks.

At the prevention and response level, integrated vector control, environmental management, and community education should be inculcated in anaemia reduction strategies. This could be achieved by deploying community health workers to raise environmental-risk awareness and promote vector prevention, alongside iron and folic acid supplementation and nutritional education. Furthermore, more detailed research should build on these findings by incorporating longitudinal data on environmental exposures to better understand causal pathways between environmental exposures and anaemia.

References:

1. United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | Department of Economic and Social Affairs. 2015. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda. Accessed 30 Oct 2023.

2. Stoltzfus RJ. Iron-Deficiency Anemia: Reexamining the Nature and Magnitude of the Public Health Problem: Summary: Implications for Research and Programs. The Journal of Nutrition. 2001;131:697S-701S.

3. Safiri S, Kolahi A-A, Noori M, Nejadghaderi SA, Karamzad N, Bragazzi NL, et al. Burden of anemia and its underlying causes in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Journal of Hematology & Oncology. 2021;14:1–16.

4. Alderman H, Horton S. The Economics of addressing Nutritional Anemia. In: Kraemer K, Zimmermann MB, editors. Nutritional Anemia. Basel: Sight and Life Press; 2007. p. 19–36.

5. Rafael Plessow, Narendra Kumar Arora, Beatrice Brunner, Christina Tzogiou, Klaus Eichler, Urs Brügger, et al. Social Costs of Iron Deficiency Anemia in 6–59-Month-Old Children in India. PLOS ONE. 2015;10:e0136581.

6. World Health Organisation. Anaemia in women and children: WHO Global Anaemia estimates, 2021 Edition. 2021.

7. Anand T, Rahi M, Sharma P, Ingle GK. Issues in prevention of iron deficiency anemia in India. Nutrition. 2014;30:764–70.

8. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Anaemia Mukt Bharat. 2022.

9. Ministry of Women and Child Development. National Nutrition Policy. 1993.

10. International Institute for Population Sciences. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), 2019-21: India. 2022.

11. Bharati S, Pal M, Som S, Bharati P. Temporal Trend of Anemia Among Reproductive-Aged Women in India. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health. 2015;27:NP1193–207.

12. Dev Ram Sunuwar, Devendra Raj Singh, Narendra Kumar Chaudhary, Pranil Man Singh Pradhan, Pushpa Rai, Kalpana Tiwari. Prevalence and factors associated with anemia among women of reproductive age in seven South and Southeast Asian countries: Evidence from nationally representative surveys. PLOS ONE. 2020;15:e0236449.

13. Kumar P, Sharma H, Sinha D. Socio-economic inequality in anaemia among men in India: a study based on cross-sectional data. BMC Public Health. 2021;21:1345.

14. Sharma H, Singh SK, Srivastava S. Major Correlates of Anemia among Women (Age 15-49) in India and Spatial Variation, Evidence from National Family Health Survey-4. Journal of Women's Health Care. 2018;07.

15. Singh A, Ram S, Singh S, Tripathi P. Prevalence and determinants of anaemia among men in rural India: Evidence from a nationally representative survey. PLOS Global Public Health. 2022;2:e0001159.

16. Unisa S, Chattopadhyay A, Ganguly S, Roy TK. Causes of Anaemia Among Reproductive Age Women: An Intervention Study in the Eastern Region of India. Population Review. 2011;50.

17. Prüss-Ustün A, Wolf J, Corvalán C, Neville T, Bos R, Neira M. Diseases due to unhealthy environments: an updated estimate of the global burden of disease attributable to environmental determinants of health. Journal of Public Health. 2017;39:464–75.

18. Morris GP, Beck SA, Hanlon P, Robertson R. Getting strategic about the environment and health. Public Health. 2006;120:889–903.

19. Martine Vrijheid. The exposome: a new paradigm to study the impact of environment on health. Thorax. 2014;69:876–8.

20. Capitão C, Martins R, Santos O, Bicho M, Szigeti T, Katsonouri A, et al. Exposure to heavy metals and red blood cell parameters in children: A systematic review of observational studies. Frontiers in Pediatrics. 2022;10.

21. Cindi MD, Mbonane TP, Naicker N. Study protocol to examine the relationship between environmental exposure to lead and blood lead levels among children from day-care centres in Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality. BMJ Open. 2020;10:e036687.

22. Honda T, Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh H. Anemia prevalence and hemoglobin levels are associated with long-term exposure to air pollution in an older population. Environment International. 2017;101:125–32.

23. Kothari MT, Coile A, Huestis A, Pullum T, Garrett D, Engmann C. Exploring associations between water, sanitation, and anemia through 47 nationally representative demographic and health surveys. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2019;1450:249–67.

24. Vivek Singh Malik, Manvi Singh, Pranita Pradhan, Kusum Singal, Amit Agarwal, Anil Chauhan, et al. Role of environmental lead in the occurrence of anemia in Indian children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environmental science and pollution research international. 2022;29:37556–64.

25. Myers SS, Smith, Guth S, Golden CD, Vaitla B, Mueller ND, et al. Climate Change and Global Food Systems: Potential Impacts on Food Security and Undernutrition. Annual review of public health. 2017;38.

26. Oskorouchi HR, Nie P, Sousa-Poza A. The effect of floods on anemia among reproductive age women in Afghanistan. PLOS ONE. 2018;13:e0191726.

27. Semba RD, Askari S, Gibson S, Bloem MW, Kraemer K. The Potential Impact of Climate Change on the Micronutrient-Rich Food Supply. Advances in Nutrition. 2022;13:80–100.

28. Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum, Lucien Manga, Magaran Bagayoko, Johannes Sommerfeld. Climate change and vector-borne diseases: what are the implications for public health research and policy? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2015;370.

29. Caldrer S, Ursini T, Santucci B, Motta L, Angheben A. Soil-Transmitted Helminths and Anaemia: A Neglected Association Outside the Tropics. Microorganisms. 2022;10:1027.

30. Geographic Insights Lab. Insights from Ranking of Key Performance Indicators from NFHS 2015-16 and 2019-21. 2022.

31. Bhutta ZA. Effect of Infections and Environmental Factors on Growth and Nutritional Status in Developing Countries. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 2006;43 December 2006:S13.

32. Indian Council of Medical Research. Health Adaptation Plan for Vector Borne Diseases.

33. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. WHO World Malaria Report 2020: India continues to make Impressive Gains in reduction of Malaria Burden. 2020.

34. Anita Shet, Gagandeep Kang. Dengue in India: Towards a better understanding of priorities and progress. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2019;84:S1–3.

35. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare-Government of India. DENGUE SITUATION IN INDIA :: National Center for Vector Borne Diseases Control (NCVBDC). 2024.

36. Rocklöv J, Dubrow R. Climate change: an enduring challenge for vector-borne disease prevention and control. Nat Immunol. 2020;21:479–83.

37. Tabachnick WJ. Challenges in predicting climate and environmental effects on vector-borne disease episystems in a changing world. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2010;213:946–54.

38. Jose S. Adult Undernutrition in India: Is There a Huge Gender Gap? Economic and Political Weekly. 2011;46:95–102.

39. Dikshit KR, Dikshit JK. Relief Features of North-East India. In: Dikshit KR, Dikshit JK, editors. North-East India: Land, People and Economy. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2014. p. 91–125.

40. Halder B, and Yaseen ZM. Long-term climate change analysis in northeast and eastern India. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk. 2024;15:2409206.

41. Office of the Registrar General, India. Census 2011. https://censusindia.gov.in/census.website/. Accessed 16 Apr 2025.

42. Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center. USGS EROS Archive - Digital Elevation - Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 1 Arc-Second Global | U.S. Geological Survey: Dataset. 2023.

43. NASA Earth Data. MODIS/Terra Vegetation Indices Monthly L3 Global 1 km SIN Grid: Dataset. 2023.

44. Buchhorn M, Smets B, Bertels L, Roo BD, Lesiv M, Tsendbazar N-E, et al. Copernicus Global Land Service: Land Cover 100m: collection 3: epoch 2018: Globe: Dataset. 2020.

45. India Meteorological Department. Climate Research & Services (Gridded Data Archive): Dataset. 2023.

46. WorldPop. Open Spatial Demographic Data and Research. 2018.

47. World Health Organization, editor. Guideline on haemoglobin cutoffs to define anaemia in individuals and populations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024.

48. Reddy CS, Jha CS, Diwakar PG, Dadhwal VK. Nationwide classification of forest types of India using remote sensing and GIS. Environ Monit Assess. 2015;187:777.

49. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Addition Köppen-Geiger Climate Subdivisions. JetStream Max. 2023. https://www.noaa.gov/jetstream/global/climate-zones/jetstream-max-addition-k-ppen-geiger-climate-subdivisions. Accessed 16 Apr 2025.

50. Beck HE, Zimmermann NE, McVicar TR, Vergopolan N, Berg A, Wood EF. Present and future Köppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution. Sci Data. 2018;5:180214.

51. Hajat S, Armstrong BG, Gouveia N, Wilkinson P. Mortality Displacement of Heat-Related Deaths: A Comparison of Delhi, São Paulo, and London. Epidemiology. 2005;16:613.

52. India Meteorological Department. Rainfall Statistics of India – 2021. Mausam Bhawan, Lodi Road New Delhi – 110003: Ministry of Earth Sciences; 2022.

53. Agarwal S, Mukherjee D, Debbarma N. Analysis of extreme annual rainfall in North-Eastern India using machine learning techniques. AQUA - Water Infrastructure, Ecosystems and Society. 2023;72:2201–15.

54. Sharma CS, Panda SN, Pradhan RP, Singh A, Kawamura A. Precipitation and temperature changes in eastern India by multiple trend detection methods. Atmospheric Research. 2016;180:211–25.

55. Huete A, Didan K, Miura T, Rodriguez EP, Gao X, Ferreira LG. Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2002;83:195–213.

56. Mizen A, Thompson DA, Watkins A, Akbari A, Garrett JK, Geary R, et al. The use of Enhanced Vegetation Index for assessing access to different types of green space in epidemiological studies. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:753–60.

57. O'brien RM. A Caution Regarding Rules of Thumb for Variance Inflation Factors. Qual Quant. 2007;41:673–90.

58. Getis A, Ord J. K. The Analysis of Spatial Association by Use of Distance Statistics. Geographical Analysis. 1992;24:189–206.

59. Saaty RW. The analytic hierarchy process—what it is and how it is used. Mathematical Modelling. 1987;9:161–76.

60. Ali SA, Ahmad A. Mapping of mosquito-borne diseases in Kolkata Municipal Corporation using GIS and AHP based decision making approach. Spatial Information Research. 2019;27:351–72.

61. Alimi TO, Fuller DO, Herrera SV, Arevalo-Herrera M, Quinones ML, Stoler JB, et al. A multi-criteria decision analysis approach to assessing malaria risk in northern South America. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:221.

62. Ajim Ali S, Ahmad A. Using analytic hierarchy process with GIS for Dengue risk mapping in Kolkata Municipal Corporation, West Bengal, India. Spat Inf Res. 2018;26:449–69.

63. Bhatt B, Joshi JP. Analytical Hierarchy Process modeling for malaria risk zones in Vadodara district, Gujarat. Int Arch Photogramm Remote Sens Spatial Inf Sci. 2014;XL–8:171–6.

64. Rajpal S, Kumar A, Rana MJ, Kim R, Subramanian SV. Small area variation in severe, moderate, and mild anemia among women and children: A multilevel analysis of 707 districts in India. Frontiers in public health. 2022;10:945970.

65. De M, Halder A, Podder S, Sen R, Chakrabarty S, Sengupta B, et al. Anemia and hemoglobinopathies in tribal population of Eastern and North-eastern India. Hematology. 2006;11:371–3.

66. Chaudhary E, Dey S, Ghosh S, Sharma S, Singh N, Agarwal S, et al. Reducing the burden of anaemia in Indian women of reproductive age with clean-air targets. Nature Sustainability. 2022;5:939–46.

67. Datt G, Maitra P, Menon N, Ray R. Coal Plants, Air Pollution and Anaemia: Evidence from India. The Journal of Development Studies. 2023;59:533–51.

68. Windsor JS, Rodway GW. Heights and haematology: the story of haemoglobin at altitude. Postgraduate Medical Journal. 2007;83:148–51.

69. Accinelli RA, Leon-Abarca J. A. Age and altitude of residence determine anemia prevalence in Peruvian 6 to 35 months old children. PLOS ONE. 2020;15:e0226846.

70. Gassmann M, Mairbäurl H, Livshits L, Seide S, Hackbusch M, Malczyk M, et al. The increase in hemoglobin concentration with altitude varies among human populations. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2019;1450:204–20.

71. ZhiMin Yuan, YuanWu Zou, XiaoXing Liu, LongHao Wang, Cheng Chen. Longitudinal study on blood and biochemical indexes of Tibetan and Han in high altitude area. Frontiers in public health. 2023;11.

72. Zhu Y, He C, Gasparrini A, Vicedo-Cabrera AM, Liu C, Bachwenkizi J, et al. Global warming may significantly increase childhood anemia burden in sub-Saharan Africa. One Earth. 2023;6:1388–99.

73. Hlimi T. Association of anemia, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia with seasonality: A realist systematic review. Health & Place. 2015;31:180–92.

74. Rodrigues PCO, Ignotti E, Hacon SS. Association between weather seasonality and blood parameters in riverine populations of the Brazilian Amazon. Jornal de Pediatria. 2017;93:482–9.

75. Mallya G, Mishra V, Niyogi D, Tripathi S, Govindaraju RS. Trends and variability of droughts over the Indian monsoon region. Weather and Climate Extremes. 2016;12:43–68.

76. Asmall T, Abrams A, Röösli M, Cissé G, Carden K, Dalvie MA. The adverse health effects associated with drought in Africa. Science of The Total Environment. 2021;793:148500.

77. Taye Gari, Eskindir Loha, Wakgari Deressa, Tarekegn Solomon, Hanibale Atsbeha, Meselech Assegid, et al. Anaemia among children in a drought affected community in south-central Ethiopia. PLOS ONE. 2017;12:e0170898.

78. Bhattacharya S, Sharma C, Dhiman RC, Mitra AP. Climate change and malaria in India. Current Science. 2006;90:369–75.

79. Aye M, Cabot J, William LWK. Severe Dengue Fever with Haemolytic Anaemia—A Case Study. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2016;1:6.

80. Epelboin L, Hanf M, Dussart P, Ouar-Epelboin S, Djossou F, Nacher M, et al. Is dengue and malaria co-infection more severe than single infections? A retrospective matched-pair study in French Guiana. Malaria Journal. 2012;11:142.

81. Muriuki JM, Mentzer AJ, Mitchell R, Webb EL, Etyang AO, Kyobutungi C, et al. Malaria is a cause of iron deficiency in African children. Nature Medicine. 2021;27:653–8.

82. Raza MA, Khan MA, Ejaz K, Haider MA, Rasheed F. A Case of Dengue Fever With Hemorrhagic Manifestations. Cureus. 2020;12:e8581.

83. Yadav CP, Hussain SSA, Pasi S, Sharma S, Bharti PK, Rahi M, et al. Linkages between malaria and malnutrition in co-endemic regions of India. BMJ Global Health. 2023;8.

84. Balarajan YS, Fawzi WW, Subramanian SV. Changing patterns of social inequalities in anaemia among women in India: cross-sectional study using nationally representative data. BMJ Open. 2013;3:e002233.

85. Ru Y, Pressman EK, Cooper EM, Guillet R, Katzman PJ, Kent TR, et al. Iron deficiency and anemia are prevalent in women with multiple gestations. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2016;104.

86. Eltayeb R, Binsaleh NK, Alsaif G, Ali RM, Alyahyawi AR, Adam I. Hemoglobin Levels, Anemia, and Their Associations with Body Mass Index among Pregnant Women in Hail Maternity Hospital, Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Nutrients. 2023;15:3508.

87. Ghose B, Yaya S, Tang S. Anemia Status in Relation to Body Mass Index Among Women of Childbearing Age in Bangladesh. Asia-Pacific journal of public health. 2016;28:611–9.

88. Md Kamruzzaman. Is BMI associated with anemia and hemoglobin level of women and children in Bangladesh: A study with multiple statistical approaches. PLOS ONE. 2021;16:e0259116.

Supplementary Material:

Fig.S1: Global Spatial Autocorrelation report of Anaemia Prevalence among females in Eastern and North-Eastern India


Spatial Autocorrelation Report

Moran's Index 0.466146
z-score 224.404949
p-value 0.000000

Significance Level (p-value)		Critical Value (z-score)
0.01		< -2.58
0.05		-2.58 – -1.96
0.10		-1.96 – -1.65
---		-1.65 – 1.65
0.10		1.65 – 1.96
0.05		1.96 – 2.58
0.01		> 2.58

Given the z-score of 224.404949, there is a less than 1% likelihood that this clustered pattern could be the result of random chance.

Global Moran's I Summary

Moran's Index	0.466146
Expected Index	-0.000108
Variance	0.000004
z-score	224.404949
p-value	0.000000

Dataset Information

Input Feature Class:	f_anaemia
Input Field:	F_ANAEMIA
Conceptualization:	FIXED_DISTANCE
Distance Method:	EUCLIDEAN
Row Standardization:	True
Distance Threshold:	35000.0000 Meters
Weights Matrix File:	None
Selection Set:	False

**Fig.S2:** Global Spatial Autocorrelation report of Anaemia Prevalence among males in Eastern and North-Eastern India

Spatial Autocorrelation Report

Moran's Index 0.181563
z-score 33.031969
p-value 0.000000

Significance Level (p-value)		Critical Value (z-score)
0.01		< -2.58
0.05		-2.58 – -1.96
0.10		-1.96 – -1.65
---		-1.65 – 1.65
0.10		1.65 – 1.96
0.05		1.96 – 2.58
0.01		> 2.58

(Random)

Significant   Significant

Dispersed   Random   Clustered

Given the z-score of 33.031969, there is a less than 1% likelihood that this clustered pattern could be the result of random chance.

Global Moran's I Summary

Moran's Index	0.181563
Expected Index	-0.000358
Variance	0.000030
z-score	33.031969
p-value	0.000000

Dataset Information

Input Feature Class:	m_anaemia
Input Field:	M_ANAEMIA
Conceptualization:	FIXED_DISTANCE
Distance Method:	EUCLIDEAN
Row Standardization:	True
Distance Threshold:	45000.0000 Meters
Weights Matrix File:	None
Selection Set:	False

Fig. S3: Geospatial Data input for generation of Mosquito-Borne Disease Vulnerability Map for Eastern and North-Eastern India

Note: (a) Altitude: Digital Elevation Model, (b) Topographic Wetness Index, (c) Normalized Difference Vegetation Index, (d) Land use Land Cover, (e) Annual Mean Temperature, (f) Annual Rainfall, (g) Population Density, and (h) Proportion Poor

Table S1: Unweighted distribution of sampled females and males according to selected characteristics in Eastern and North-Eastern India (NFHS-5) 2019-21

Background Characteristics	Sub Categories	Females		Males	
		Freq.	Percent	Freq.	Percent
Anaemia Status	Not anaemic	88,561	41.34	18,595	71.65
	Anaemic	1,25,670	58.66	7,358	28.35
Altitude in meters	0-100	1,11,416	52.01	12,993	50.06
	100-500	54,982	25.66	6,737	25.96
	500-1000	25,822	12.05	3,270	12.6
	>1000	22,011	10.27	2,953	11.38
Annual Mean Temperature in °C	<20	28,647	13.44	3,755	14.58
	>20	1,84,507	86.56	21,994	85.42
Annual Rainfall in mm	<1500	31,889	14.96	3,688	14.32
	1500-2000	1,05,882	49.67	12,545	48.72
	>2000	75,383	35.37	9,516	36.96
Drought Episodes	<2	35,354	16.64	4,465	17.41
	3-5	80,815	38.03	9,714	37.88
	>5	96,317	45.33	11,463	44.7
Enhanced Vegetation Index	<0.20	2,199	1.03	264	1.03
	0.20-0.30	20,214	9.48	2,462	9.56
	>0.30	1,90,741	89.49	23,023	89.41
Children Ever Born	0	65,849	30.74	-	-
	1-2	81,581	38.08	-	-
	>2	66,801	31.18	-	-
BMI	Normal	1,39,292	65.08	17,513	67.56
	Underweight	37,444	17.49	3,554	13.71
	Overweight/Obese	37,305	17.43	4,857	18.74
Type of Diet Consumption	Vegetarian	9,008	4.2	532	2.05
	Non-Vegetarian	2,05,223	95.8	25,421	97.95
Source of Water	Improved	1,87,871	87.7	23,145	89.18
	Unimproved	26,360	12.3	2,808	10.82
Toilet facility	Improved	1,59,200	74.31	19,979	76.98
	Unimproved/No facility/Open defecation	55,031	25.69	5,974	23.02
Age	15-24	72,292	33.74	8,375	32.27
	25-34	66,319	30.96	7,612	29.33
	35-49	75,620	35.3	9,966	38.4
Education level	No education	50,784	23.71	3,355	12.93
	Primary	28,469	13.29	3,643	14.04
	Secondary	1,15,251	53.8	15,462	59.58
	Higher	19,727	9.21	3,493	13.46
Religion	Hindu	1,32,495	61.85	15,698	60.49
	Muslim	25,988	12.13	3,155	12.16
	Christian	42,637	19.9	5,403	20.82
	Others	13,111	6.12	1,697	6.54
Caste	Others	27,198	13.91	3,138	13.26
	Schedule Caste	33,976	17.38	3,853	16.29
	Schedule Tribe	74,918	38.33	9,693	40.97
	OBC	59,386	30.38	6,973	29.48
Type of Residence	Urban	38,241	17.85	5,100	19.65
	Rural	1,75,990	82.15	20,853	80.35
Wealth Index	Poorest	75,039	35.03	8,586	33.08
	Poorer	62,222	29.04	7,819	30.13
	Middle	40,865	19.08	4,978	19.18
	Richer	25,216	11.77	3,290	12.68
	Richest	10,889	5.08	1,280	4.93

Table S2: Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and Tolerance Values for Multicollinearity Diagnostics

Variable	Female		Male	
	VIF	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
Annual Mean Temperature in °C	2.04	0.490564	2.03	0.491574
Children Ever Born	1.91	0.523202	1.9	0.526088
Age	1.87	0.536027	1.85	0.541971
Altitude in meters	1.79	0.558068	1.76	0.567751
Wealth Index	1.71	0.585952	1.7	0.589125
Religion	1.63	0.614685	1.6	0.625383
Education level	1.58	0.63178	1.3	0.771032
Drought Episodes	1.37	0.72813	1.36	0.737502
Annual Rainfall in mm	1.31	0.763325	1.3	0.767628
Type of Residence	1.3	0.767678	1.29	0.777552
Toilet facility	1.29	0.776507	1.26	0.792397
Enhanced Vegetation Index	1.07	0.931858	1.08	0.928886
Source of Water	1.05	0.955405	1.04	0.958322
BMI	1.04	0.95991	1.04	0.959262
Type of Diet Consumption	1.03	0.969467	1.02	0.980671
Caste	1.03	0.975138	1.03	0.974489
Mean VIF	**1.44**		**1.41**	

Table S3: Pairwise Comparison Matrix for Mosquito-Borne Disease Vulnerability Map

Decision Matrix	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8	Principle Eigen Vector	Weights	Rank
V1	1	1	0.5	1	0.33	0.33	1	1	0.0753	7.50%	7
V2	1	1	1	1	0.33	0.33	1	1	0.0807	8.10%	5
V3	2	1	1	1	0.33	0.33	1	1	0.0899	9.00%	4
V4	1	1	1	1	0.33	0.33	1	1	0.0807	8.10%	5
V5	3	3	3	3	1	0.33	3	3	0.2192	21.90%	2
V6	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	2	0.2838	28.40%	1
V7	1	1	1	1	0.33	0.33	1	0.5	0.0749	7.50%	8
V8	1	1	1	1	0.33	0.5	2	1	0.0956	9.60%	3

Number of comparisons	28	
Consistency Ratio (CR)	2.40%	Note: V1: Annual Rainfall, V2: Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (NDVI), V3: Land Use Land Cover, V4: Annual Mean Temperature, V5: Altitude in meters, V6: Topographic Wetness Index, V7: Population Density, and, V8: Proportion Poor
Principal eigen value (λ)	8.24	

Table S4: Assignment of Risk Values to Geospatial Categories

Variable	Categories	Weights	Risk Value
Topographic Wetness Index	Very Low		1
	Low		2
	Moderate	28.4	3
	High		4
	Very High		4
Altitude in meters	>2000		1
	75-2000		1
	50-75	21.9	2
	10-50		4
	<10		5
Proportion Poor	<20		1
	20-40		2
	40-60	7.5	2
	60-80		3
	>80		3
LULC	Snow		1
	Barren Land		1
	Dense Vegetation	9	2
	Agricultural Land		2
	Settlements		4
	Waterbodies		5
NDVI	<0		1
	0-0.1		2
	0.1-0.3	8.1	2
	0.3-0.5		4
	>0.5		3
Temperature in °C	<10		1
	10-15		1
	15-20	8.1	1
	20-25		3
	>25		3
Rainfall in mm	<1000		1
	1000-2000		2
	2000-3000	7.5	3
	3000-4000		3
	>4000		3
Population Density	<99		1
	100-999		1
	1000-2999	7.5	3
	3000-4999		4
	>5000		5